



Stakeholder Engagement & Influence

Why Stakeholder Engagement Doesn't Always Deliver Results

Strengthening Relationships, Organizational Intelligence, and Regulatory Effectiveness

Jordan Max, President, Upstream Management Solutions

October 2026

Beyond Engagement Activity

Stakeholder engagement has become a well-established component of regulatory practice. Most regulators routinely conduct consultations, gather submissions, convene advisory groups, hold meetings, and create opportunities for participation across policy, operational, and strategic initiatives.

Yet an increasingly important question is emerging: if organizations are engaging more than ever before, why doesn't stakeholder engagement always deliver the results they expect?

Across the regulatory sector, engagement activity is highly visible. Stakeholders participate in surveys, consultations, forums, working groups, and written submissions. What is often less visible is the value created by those activities. Organizations may invest significant time and effort in engagement while continuing to experience implementation challenges, stakeholder frustration, consultation fatigue, weak relationship continuity, limited organizational learning, and uncertainty about how stakeholder input influenced decisions.

The challenge is rarely a lack of engagement. More often, it is a lack of integration.

The strongest organizations increasingly view stakeholder engagement as an organizational capability rather than a collection of activities. Stakeholders are treated not simply as consultation participants but as knowledge contributors, implementation partners, ecosystem intelligence sources, and long-term relationship investments. This shift changes the focus from conducting engagement activities to building relationships and systems that support better decisions and more effective implementation over time.

In many organizations, stakeholder engagement continues to function primarily as a consultation or communications activity. Stakeholders are invited to provide feedback at specific points in time, input is collected and reviewed, decisions are made, and the process concludes until the next engagement opportunity arises. While this approach can generate useful information, it often limits the broader value that engagement can provide.



REGULATORY CAPACITY INSIGHTS™

Monthly Perspectives on Modernizing Regulation

Relationships, Intelligence, and Regulatory Effectiveness

Increasingly, regulators are recognizing that stakeholder engagement serves three interconnected purposes: strengthening relationships, generating organizational intelligence, and improving regulatory effectiveness.

Strong relationships improve trust, continuity, responsiveness, and collaboration over time. Stakeholders who experience meaningful and consistent engagement are often more willing to provide candid feedback, raise emerging concerns, and support implementation efforts.

Stakeholders also provide intelligence that regulators cannot easily obtain through internal reporting or environmental scanning alone. They experience policies, standards, registration requirements, quality assurance programs, and complaints processes in practice. As a result, they can offer valuable insight into implementation realities, unintended consequences, operational challenges, emerging issues, and changing professional environments.

Understanding Practice and Supporting Implementation

For regulators, this intelligence function is particularly important. Regulatory bodies are responsible for overseeing professional practice in the public interest. To do that effectively, they need to understand how practice is evolving, what challenges registrants are encountering, and how broader environmental changes are affecting the professions they regulate. Stakeholder engagement helps regulators remain connected to these realities and to the environments in which regulatory decisions are ultimately implemented.

Stakeholder engagement can also play an important role in implementation. Regulators frequently rely on registrants, employers, educators, professional associations, and other stakeholders to understand, adopt, and operationalize regulatory changes. When relationships are strong and stakeholders understand the rationale behind decisions, implementation often becomes more effective. Conversely, weak engagement can create misunderstanding, resistance, or unintended consequences that may not become visible until after a decision has been made.

Stakeholders can also contribute earlier in the policy and decision-making process through co-creation. Rather than limiting engagement to reviewing proposals that have already been developed, some organizations involve stakeholders in defining problems, exploring options, identifying practical considerations, and shaping potential solutions. While decision-making authority remains with the regulator, co-creation can strengthen both the quality of decisions and the likelihood of successful implementation.

Ultimately, the value of stakeholder engagement is reflected in regulatory effectiveness. Better intelligence, stronger relationships, and more effective implementation often contribute to better decisions. Policies may become more practical, implementation challenges may be identified



REGULATORY CAPACITY INSIGHTS™

Monthly Perspectives on Modernizing Regulation

earlier, communication can become more targeted, and organizations may be better positioned to respond to changing conditions and stakeholder expectations.

Common Breakdowns in Engagement Systems

Several recurring patterns continue to limit these benefits. Engagement may occur too late in the decision-making process, limiting opportunities for meaningful influence. Relationships can become transactional when interaction occurs only during specific projects or consultations. Organizations may struggle to consistently evaluate and integrate stakeholder input, while weak feedback loops can leave stakeholders uncertain about how their contributions influenced decisions. Over time, these challenges can weaken trust, participation, and organizational learning.

From Engagement Activity to Engagement Capability

This does not mean every recommendation should be adopted. Rather, stakeholder perspectives should be systematically understood, evaluated, and integrated where appropriate. The goal is not agreement on every issue. The goal is stronger relationships, better intelligence, more effective implementation, and more informed decision-making.

How organizations respond will depend on the nature and scope of the challenge. The key is selecting a response that matches the problem rather than assuming every engagement challenge requires the same solution. Some situations may benefit from reviewing a specific stakeholder relationship, consultation process, or implementation issue, while others may require practical approaches to strengthen engagement capability across the organization. Organizations seeking a comprehensive assessment of stakeholder engagement capability may benefit from the Stakeholder Influence, Intelligence & Coordination Diagnostic & Roadmap.

For more information, see our [Stakeholder Influence, Intelligence & Coordination Practice Area brochure](#):

As expectations continue to evolve, organizations may benefit from asking a simple question:

Are we primarily managing engagement activities—or building engagement capability?

The answer may reveal opportunities to strengthen relationships, organizational intelligence, and regulatory effectiveness at the same time.

We Speak Regulator™

Upstream Management Solutions

www.upstreammanagementsolutions.com

©Upstream Management Solutions October 2026